

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,369	11/14/2003	Peter M. Beasley	NETW:1000	9152	
34725	7590 10/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHALKER FLORES, LLP 2711 LBJ FRWY			RIMELL, SAMUEL G		
Suite 1036			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75234			2164		
•			DATE MAILED: 10/30/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
		10/713,369	BEASLEY, PETER M.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sam Rimell	2164			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	_					
3)	, _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10,12-15,17-20 and 22-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)🛛	Claim(s) 23-33 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents håve been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d. MuN			
			SAM RIMELL			
A44- 1		•	PRIMARY EXAMINER			
Attachment 1) Notice		о п				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Page 1				
rape	No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/713,369

Art Unit: 2164

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group I: Claims 23-28, drawn to an apparatus for managing infrastructure, classified in class 707, subclasses 3 and 200.

Group II: Claims 29-31, drawn to a method for creating an asset management database, classified in class 707, subclass 102.

Group III: Claims 32-33, drawn to a method of using an asset management system, classified in class 705, subclass 8, and class 707, subclass 104.1.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims within claims 23-33 are generic.

Claims 1-5, 10, 12-15, 17-20 and 22 will be examined along with the elected grouping.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,369

Art Unit: 2164

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2164 Page 3